

Subversion Thru Immigration

Continued from page 1 —

each year by advancing technology and automation. He warned that if our economy did not grow faster, we faced chronic troubles "characterized by the economic waste and the human tragedy of unemployment, by higher welfare payments and weaker consumer markets, by recurrent problems of crime and delinquency and unstable labor relations."

We do not need more population! U. S. population has exploded upwards from 2,500,000 in 1776 to an estimated 196,000,000 by the end of 1964, and it is expected to reach 372 millions (almost double) by the year 2000 according to reliable sources.

Our liberty and our free society can be drowned in a matter of years by a human tidal wave if the restrictions of the McCarran-Walter Act of 1952 are destroyed. How many can the U. S. accept without being swamped? How many of the world's poor and huddled masses can we take in and yet survive as a FREE PEOPLE in a FREE SOCIETY? The answer is obvious with a bang.

The time to act is NOW! Send letters to your own Senators and Congressmen and to:— Joint Committee on Immigration and Nationality Policy, U. S. Congress, Washington, D. C.

Rep. Michael A. Feighan, Chm., Rep. Emanuel Celler, Rep. Frank Chelf, Rep. Arch A. Moore, Jr., Rep. Richard A. Poff, Sen. James O. Eastland, Sen. Olin Johnston, Sen. John L. McClellan, Sen. Everett Dirksen, and Sen. Kenneth Keating.

SAVING AMERICA IS EVERYBODY'S BUSINESS

(Excerpts of above from San Diego (Cal.) Patriotic Society Bulletin).

Latest Refugee Fraud

Courier-Times-Telegraph, June 7, 1964

Two House Committees are preparing to check into a State Department operation that has been going on with no public attention.

Washington columnists Robert Allen and Paul Scott revealed last week that the State Department is running a refugee resettlement operation for Russians who have been living for years under Communist Chinese rule. The columnists state that this is going on with "full knowledge and approval" of President Johnson—but with no authorization from Congress.

So far more than 10,000 Russians have reached Hong Kong from their settlements in northeastern Manchuria—a matter of several thousand miles of travel through Red China.

The State Department's aim apparently is to settle these Russians—all unscreened—in several countries like Australia, New Zealand, Canada, Latin America and the United States.

The anticipated cost is \$25 million. Naturally all of the costs is to be borne by Uncle Sam again as the fall-guy.

British and American authorities in Hong Kong are in strong opposition to this program, the columnists say, and this includes U. S. Consul General Edward E. Rice. But Abba Schwartz, head of the Bureau of Consular and Security Affairs, has been in Hong Kong for several weeks setting up the resettlement machinery.

Rep. Michael Feighan, Ohio Democrat and head of a House judiciary subcommittee, is planning an investigation. So is Rep. Otto Passman, Louisiana Democrat who heads the House Appropriations Committee.

Both of them would like to know who instigated the operation and why, and also why U. S. foreign aid funds are being used.

Nobody would object, of course, to helping truly oppressed people to flee the yoke of Communist China. But previous experience and the ease with which the Russians are getting out of China lend suspicion to their motives for entering the U. S.A.



Justice William Douglas

"Being a Communist certainly is not a crime."

—from concurring majority opinion of U. S. Supreme Court Justice William Douglas in June 22 decision giving passports to Communists (quoted in the June 28 Communist "Worker").

Several thousand of these Russian refugees were resettled in 1960, if you recall. The United States helped them to settle in Brazil, Colombia, Mexico and Ecuador.

In all four countries, members of the group have since been expelled or jailed on grounds of espionage, violence, and joining local communists in trying to overthrow governments.

That's what makes the whole project look peculiar. Will they now be sent here?

And Rep. Passman wants to know why foreign aid money—which Secretary of Defense McNamara has said is too short to permit vigorous prosecution of the anti-Communist efforts in Vietnam—is to be used in such a project.

Congress is told that it is to blame for not voting enough foreign aid, Mr. Passman says, "but at the same time, foreign aid money is being used for a purpose never authorized by Congress."

Scott and Allen report that U. S. and Britain intelligence officers are alarmed because they feel it is virtually certain that there are many Red agents among these "refugees."

Maybe some of our taxpaying citizens and their congressmen will be alarmed, too now that they have learned about the project.

Wake up America—Support The "Liberty Amendment"

The Liberty Amendment might be called the "Survival Amendment," because it means (1) survival, (2) security, and (3) prosperity.

This Amendment will restore the Constitution as the supreme law of the land. It will eliminate the personal income and withholding tax, thereby depriving the government of power to place an unlimited tax upon the people. It will permit us to keep what we earn—and to earn more. It is the foundation of future progress, and the guarantee of personal assurance that the United States shall not be swallowed up in World Socialism—that we shall not "surrender without a fight." Nineteen years of research have gone into this Amendment. Over 200 of the Nation's leading Constitutional lawyers and Deans of Law Schools collaborated in its final draft. The Liberty Amendment resolution has been passed in seven states. It is must legislation!

We find that operating within the framework of the Executive Department is a bureaucracy that now controls some 20% of the entire industrial capacity of the United States. Over 700 government owned corporations have been identified, having no authority for their existence under the Constitution, which in the past have operated in the red, and in the future will operate in the

red, at a \$39 - 3/4 billion cost to taxpayers (at the 1959 level). If Foreign Grants and Credits are included, the cost to U. S. taxpayers will be \$44 - 3/4 billion.

These 700 corporations are in direct competition with private enterprise and operate on a cost-free, tax-free, interest-free, rent-free basis with your tax dollar. Their accumulated losses amount to more than the revenue from the Federal Personal Income Taxes paid by U. S. taxpayers.

Here are the exact provisions of the Proposed 24th Amendment (now bottled up in the House Judiciary Committee by Emanuel Celler, Chairman) —to become law when identical resolutions are passed by the necessary majority of State legislatures.

Section 1: The Government of the United States shall not engage in any business, professional, commercial, financial or industrial enterprise except as specified in the Constitution.

Section 2: The constitution or laws of any State, or the laws of the United States shall not be subject to the terms of any foreign or domestic agreement which would abrogate this amendment.

Section 3: The activities of the United States Government which violate the intent and purposes of this amendment shall, within a period of three years from the date of the ratification of this amendment, be liquidated, and the properties and facilities affected shall be sold.

Section 4: Three years after the ratification of this amendment the sixteenth article of amendments to the Constitution of the United States shall stand repealed and thereafter Congress shall not levy taxes on personal income, estates, and/or gifts.

It is urged that all State Legislatures pass a resolution supporting this Liberty Amendment and send it to the U. S. Congress in Washington to indicate their views.

This resolution should be identical to similar resolutions passed by the Legislatures of other states except that the exact form (subject to the foregoing provision) will depend on rules of the State Legislatures.

J. Bracken Lee of Utah stated:

"People of this nation went a long way toward destroying the Constitution when we permitted the government to talk people into destroying our greatest safeguard against too much power in government—this was the adoption of the Sixteenth Amendment, or the personal income tax. I cannot conceive how any intelligent person can defend the Constitution of the United States and at the same time defend the income tax which nullifies and eventually destroys all semblance of these constitutional guarantees. Until those citizens who believe in the free enterprise system awaken to the dangers of this evil and demand its repeal, I see no hope to preserve the rights that we are entitled to as free men."

"THE LIBERTY AMENDMENT," which was introduced into Congress by the Hon. James B. Utt, of California, is pending as House Joint Resolution 23. It is simultaneously being introduced before the Legislatures of the Several States with the intent of obtaining identical resolutions approving it to insure its passage.

Positive action in support of this Proposed Amendment to the Constitution depends, to a large degree, on the strong united effort of individual Americans interested in preserving their freedom.

THE RESULT IS UP TO YOU!

Common Sense *

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.
Conde McGinley, Editor

One year's subscription, \$1; Three years
for \$2.50. First Class (Sealed) — \$3.00
per year. Foreign, 10 months, \$1.00

In BULK, same issue or assorted
25 Copies — \$1.00
100 Copies — 3.00
500 Copies — 10.00

Common Sense *

Published twice monthly except July and August,
once each, by Christian Educational Assn.,
530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J.
Trade Mark Registered U. S. Patent Office.